

MARCH, 1894.

---

# ANNALS OF MATHEMATICS.

---

ORMOND STONE, Editor.

W. M. THORNTON,  
R. S. WOODWARD,  
JAMES McMAHON,  
WM. H. ECHOLS, } Associate Editors.

---

OFFICE OF PUBLICATION: UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA.

---

Volume 8, Number 3.

---

ALL COMMUNICATIONS should be addressed to ORMOND STONE, University Station, Charlottesville, Va., U. S. A.

Entered at the Post Office as second-class mail matter.

GIA  
The University

19 Jan '05

# NOTE ON THE THEORY OF FUNCTIONS OF A REAL VARIABLE.\*

By W. H. ECHOLS, Charlottesville, Va.

§ 1. The application of the Differential Calculus to investigations in the Theory of Functions of a real variable depends on the formation and the character of the  $n$ th derivative. This marks the limit of its applicability. The following note is intended to be illustrative, at the same time, of the process and of its limitations.

## I.

### FOUNDATION.

§ 2. Let  $fx$  represent an explicit function of the variable  $x$ . The function  $fx$  is said to be *finite* for  $x = a$ , when  $fa$  is not infinite.  $fx$  is said to be *uniform* for  $x = a$ , when  $fa$  has a single determinate value.

The uniform and finite function  $fx$  is *continuous* at  $a$  when it is possible to find a finite number  $h$ , such that

$$f(a + \theta h) - fa \quad -1 < \theta < +1$$

is less than an arbitrarily small assigned number  $\delta$ , and

$$\lim_{h=0} f(a + \theta h) = fa.$$

The function is progressively continuous at  $a$  when  $0 < \theta < +1$ , and regressively continuous at  $a$  when  $-1 < \theta < 0$ .

The function  $fx$  is said to be uniform, finite, and continuous throughout the interval from  $x = a$  to  $x = \beta$ , when ( $\beta > a$ ) it is uniform, finite, and continuous for every value of  $x$  that is equal to or greater than  $a$ , and equal to or less than  $\beta$ .

If  $fx$  be u. f. c.† throughout the finite interval ( $a\beta$ ), then it follows from the definitions, that

$$f(a + h) = fa + \sigma,$$

where  $a$  and  $a + h$  are values in the interval, and as  $h$  converges uniformly to zero,  $\sigma$  converges continuously to zero.

\* This note is intended to be a somewhat critical examination of the fundamental principles which underlie the general method for expansion of real functions in series, given in a crude note written January, 1892, "On Certain Determinant Forms and their Applications."

† Uniform, finite, and continuous.



## § 3. Complete difference of a sequence:—

Let there be a sequence of the  $n + 1$  terms

$$A_0, A_1, \dots, A_n.$$

Form a new sequence of  $n + 1$  terms, thus:

$$A_0, A_1 - A_0, \dots, A_n - A_{n-1}.$$

From this form a new sequence, beginning with the second term and subtracting each term from the one which follows it. Continue this operation until there have been formed  $n$  new sequences from the first one. The last sequence of these is called the *complete difference* of the first sequence. Its terms are

$$A_r - C_{r,1}A_{r-1} + \dots + (-1)^r C_{r,r}A_0. \quad (r = 0, \dots, n)$$

§ 4. We assume a one-to-one correspondence between the variable  $x$  and the  $x$ -axis of Cartesian coordinates, and that the function is represented by the ordinate. We speak of the point  $a$  as the point on the  $x$ -axis for which  $x = a$ , and of the point  $fa$  as the point established by the coordinates  $(a, fa)$ .

Consider the sequence whose terms are

$$f(a + rh), \quad (r = 0, \dots, n)$$

The points  $a + rh$  being in the u. f. c. interval of  $fx$ .

The complete-difference of this sequence is the sequence

$$f(a + rh) - C_{r,1}f(a + r - 1h) + \dots + (-1)^r fa. \quad (r = 0, \dots, n)$$

This expression we call the  $r$ th *difference* of the function  $fx$  at the point  $a$ . It is *progressive* or *regressive* according as  $h$  is positive or negative. We symbolize the  $n$ th general difference of  $fx$  at  $a$ , by  $\Delta^n fa$ .

We have,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^n fa &= f(a + nh) - C_{n,1}f(a + n - 1h) + \dots + (-1)^n fa \\ &= fa \left[ \frac{f(a + nh)}{fa} - C_{n,1} \frac{f(a + n - 1h)}{fa} + \dots + (-1)^n \right] \\ &= fa [(1 + \sigma_n) - C_{n,1}(1 + \sigma_{n-1}) + \dots + (-1)^n] \\ &= \sigma^n fa. \end{aligned}$$

For

$$f(a + rh) = fa + \delta_r,$$

where  $\delta_r$  has a value which is equal to zero when  $h = 0$ , and

$$\frac{f(a + rh)}{fa} = 1 + \frac{\delta_r}{fa} = 1 + \sigma_r,$$

where  $\sigma_r = 0$  when  $h = 0$ . Also, there must exist some value  $\sigma$  such that  $\sigma = 0$  when  $\delta_r = 0$ ,  $\sigma_r = 0$ ,  $h = 0$  and is determined by

$$[(1 + \sigma) - 1]^n = (1 + \sigma_n) - C_{n,1}(1 + \sigma_{n-1}) + \dots + (-1)^n.$$

From these results we infer that the  $n$ th difference of the continuous function at  $a$  becomes a vanishing value of nullitude  $n$  as  $h$  converges to zero.

§ 5. The ratio

$$\frac{J^{n,h}fa}{h^n},$$

we call the  $n$ th *difference-ratio* of the function  $fx$  at  $a$ , progressive or regressive according as  $h$  is positive or negative, and having a different value in each case. This ratio, as we have seen, has the form

$$\frac{J^{n,h}fa}{h^n} = \left(\frac{\sigma}{h}\right)^n fa,$$

wherein  $\sigma$  becomes infinitesimal at the same time with  $h$ .

It is through this form that we propose to separate u. f. c. functions into classes.

The ratio  $\sigma/h$ , as  $h$  converges to zero, may become indeterminate; functions which yield this result we reject for the present. We also set aside that class of continuous functions which are such that  $\sigma$  becomes infinitesimal of lower order than  $h$ , which makes the limit of the ratio  $\sigma/h$  infinite. We consider u. f. c. functions to be *monogenic* functions, when the limit of the ratio  $\sigma/h$  is determinate, uniform, and not infinite ( $n$  finite) as  $h$  converges to zero, whatever be the sign of  $h$ .

The u. f. c. function  $fx$  is monogenic at  $a$  when (for  $\pm h$ )

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{J^{n,h}fa}{h^n}$$

is uniform and finite for a finite value of  $n$ .

A function which is uniform, finite, continuous, and monogenic at  $a$  is said to be *holomorphic* at  $a$ . The function is holomorphic throughout the interval  $(a, \beta)$  when it is holomorphic at each point in the interval.

§ 6. We write the limit of the  $n$ th difference-ratio

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{J^{n,h}fa}{h^n} = f^n a,$$

and call it the  $n$ th *derivative-ratio*, or simply the  $n$ th *derivative* of the function at  $a$ .

The difference-ratios higher than the first, while peculiarly suited to the above suggested classification are not well suited for the calculation of the derivatives. The first derivative is determined as the limit of the first difference-ratio, thus :

$$f'a = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a+h) - fa}{h},$$

and the successive derivatives from the form

$$f^{n+1}a = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f^n(a+h) - f^na}{h}.$$

For

$$f^na = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{J^{nh}fa}{h^n},$$

$$f^n(a+h) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{J^{nh}f(a+h)}{h^n}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f^n(a+h) - f^na}{h} &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h^{n+1}} [J^{nh}f(a+h) - J^{nh}fa] \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{J^{n+1h}fa}{h^n}, \end{aligned}$$

since

$$C_{n,r} + C_{n,r-1} = C_{n+1,r}.$$

Passing to the limit, we have

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f^n(a+h) - f^na}{h} = f^{n+1}a.$$

## II.

### FUNDAMENTAL THEOREMS. HOLOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS.

§ 7. THEOREM I. If  $fz$  be a holomorphic function throughout the interval  $(a, \beta)$ , its first derivative is a holomorphic function throughout the interval  $(a, \beta)$ .  $f'z$  is uniform and finite at  $a$ , since by definition

$$\frac{f(a+h) - fa}{h}$$

converges ( $h = 0$ ) to a single determinate finite value  $f'a$ .  $f'x$  is continuous at  $a$ , because

$$f'(a + h) - f'a$$

must become infinitesimal when  $h$  becomes infinitesimal, since

$$\frac{f'(a + h) - f'a}{h}$$

converges ( $h = 0$ ) to the uniform finite limit  $f''a$ . Moreover, the successive derivatives of  $f'x$  are the derivatives of  $f'x$ ; consequently,  $f'x$  is monogenic at  $a$ . Being uniform, finite, continuous, and monogenic at any point  $a$  in  $(a\beta)$ ,  $f'x$  is holomorphic throughout the interval.

COROLLARY. A function which is holomorphic throughout a finite interval, has an unlimited number of successive derivatives each of which is holomorphic throughout the interval.

§ 8. A continuous variable  $x$  is said to vary *uniformly* from  $a$  to  $\beta$ , when as  $x$  passes from the value  $a$  to the value  $\beta$ , it takes during the passage any value that is equal to or greater than  $a$  and equal to or less than  $\beta$ , and but *once*.

A continuous function  $f'x$  is said to vary uniformly from  $f'a$  to  $f'\beta$ , or through the interval  $(a\beta)$ , when as  $x$  varies uniformly through  $(a\beta)$  the function takes during the passage any value between the values  $f'a$  and  $f'\beta$  (these included) but *once*.

It follows therefore that a continuous function which varies uniformly through an interval, has algebraically an increasing or decreasing value throughout the interval according as

$$f'(x + h^2) - f'x$$

is positive or negative, however small we take  $h$ . Or, as the derivative

$$f''x = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f'(x + h^2) - f'x}{h^2},$$

is positive or negative throughout the interval.

THEOREM II. In any arbitrarily small finite interval in the interval  $(a\beta)$  of a holomorphic function  $f'x$ , the function must vary uniformly.

For

$$f'a = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a + h) - fa}{h}$$

must be a uniform, determinate, finite limit, and  $f'x$  is continuous throughout

$(a, \beta)$ . Hence

$$\frac{f(a+h) - fa}{h} - \frac{f(a+\theta h) - fa}{\theta h} \quad 0 < \theta < 1$$

must be arbitrarily small with  $h$ . This cannot be so (unless  $f'a = 0$ ) when for any  $\theta$  we have

$$f(a + \theta h) = fa.$$

Therefore  $fx$  must be an increasing or decreasing function from  $a$  to  $a + h$ .

The theorem (Cauchy's) which justifies these definitions is as follows:

THEOREM III. If  $V$  be any fixed value whatever between  $fa$  and  $f\beta$ , then the continuous function  $fx$  must take the value  $V$  for some value  $u$ , of  $x$ , between  $a$  and  $\beta$ .

Suppose  $fa < f\beta$  (algebraically). Let  $\beta > a$ , and divide the interval  $(a, \beta)$  into 10 equal parts, each equal to  $h$ . Consider the sequence

$$fa, f(a+h), \dots, f(a+nh) = f\beta.$$

If any one of these values be identical with  $V$ , the theorem is proved. Otherwise, let  $fa_1$  be the last term of the sequence, proceeding from  $a$ , which is less than  $V$ . Let  $f\beta_1$  be the last term of the sequence, proceeding from  $\beta$ , which is greater than  $V$ . Divide the new interval  $(a_1, \beta_1)$  into 10 equal parts  $h_1$ . Suppose the function does not take the value  $V$  at any of these points of division. Then, let in like manner  $fa_2 < V$  and  $f\beta_2 > V$ , define a new interval  $(a_2, \beta_2)$  with which we proceed as before. Continue this operation until either  $fx$  takes the value  $V$  at one of the subdivision points, or we reach an interval  $(a_n, \beta_n)$ , such that

$$fa_n < V < f\beta_n.$$

Now

$$\beta_n - a_n = 10 h_n,$$

and

$$\beta - a = 10 h = 10^2 h_1 = \dots = 10^{n+1} h_n.$$

Therefore

$$h_n = \frac{\beta - a}{10^{n+1}},$$

and

$$\beta_n - a_n = \frac{\beta - a}{10^n}.$$

$a_n$  continually increases but never reaches  $\beta$ ; therefore it has a limit.  $\beta_n$  continually decreases but never reaches  $a$ , it therefore has a limit. The difference  $\beta_n - a_n$  can be made as small as we choose by sufficiently increasing  $n$ ;



consequently they converge to the same limit  $u$ , which is  $a < u < \beta$ . But the value  $V$  always lies between  $f a_n$  and  $f \beta_n$ , and since the function  $f x$  is continuous we can make the difference between  $f a_n$  and  $f \beta_n$  as small as we choose by making  $\beta_n - a_n$  sufficiently small. Consequently  $V$  is the limit to which converge  $f a_n$  and  $f \beta_n$  as  $a_n$  and  $\beta_n$  converge to the limit  $u$ . Therefore, we have

$$f u = V. \quad a < u < \beta$$

COROLLARY. If a continuous function has opposite signs at the ends of an interval  $(a, \beta)$ , then must there be some value  $u$  such that

$$f u = 0. \quad a < u < \beta$$

THEOREM IV. If a holomorphic function  $f x$  has equal values at two points  $a$  and  $b$  in its interval of holomorphism, then its derivative must have a zero between  $a$  and  $b$ .

If  $f x$  is constant for any finite portion of the interval  $(ab)$ , the theorem is proved. Otherwise,  $f x$  must be either an increasing or decreasing function as we proceed from  $a$  to  $b$ . Now  $f x$  cannot continue to be an increasing or decreasing function throughout the interval from  $a$  to  $b$ , for, if so, it would be impossible for  $f b$  to equal  $f a$ . Therefore at some point  $x_1$  in the interval,  $f x$  must be an increasing function, and at some point  $x_2$  a decreasing function. Since the function is holomorphic, the derivative  $f' x$  must have opposite signs at the points  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ . Since the derivative is a continuous function between  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ , it must have a zero in the interval  $(x_1 x_2)$ . Consequently, we have

$$f' u = 0. \quad a < u < b$$

COROLLARY. If  $a$  and  $b$  are zeros of the function, its derivative must have a zero between  $a$  and  $b$ .

THEOREM V. If a holomorphic function has  $n + 1$  zeros in its interval  $(a, \beta)$ , then the  $n$ th derivative must have a zero between the greatest and least of the zeros of the function.

Let the zeros of  $f x$  be  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n$  taken in increasing order. The first derivative  $f' x$  has a zero in each of the  $n$  intervals  $(a_0 a_1), \dots, (a_{n-1} a_n)$ , say  $b_0, \dots, b_{n-1}$ . But  $f' x$  is a holomorphic function in  $(a, \beta)$ , therefore its derivative  $f'' x$  must have a zero in each one of the  $n - 1$  intervals  $(b_0 b_1), \dots, (b_{n-2} b_{n-1})$ , say  $c_0, \dots, c_{n-2}$ . In like manner  $f''' x$  has a zero in each of the  $n - 2$  intervals  $(c_0 c_1), \dots, (c_{n-3} c_{n-2})$ . In this way we continue until  $f^n x$  must have a zero,  $u$ , in the one remaining interval, or

$$f^n u = 0. \quad a_0 < u < a_n$$

COROLLARY. The same result is true if  $fx$  has any  $n + 1$  equal values in the interval.

§ 9. LEMMA. Let  $a_r = a + rh$ ,  $(r = 0, \dots, n)$  then

$$(-1)^n J^{nh} f a_0 = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} f a_0 & 1 & a_0 & \dots & a_0^{n-1} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ f a_n & 1 & a_n & \dots & a_n^{n-1} \end{vmatrix}}{\varpi^{\frac{1}{2}}(a_1, \dots, a_n)}.$$

For, expanding the second member by the first column of the numerator it becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r=0}^n (-1)^r f a_r &= \sum_{r=0}^n (-1)^r \frac{\varpi^{\frac{1}{2}}(a_0, \dots, a_{r-1}, a_{r+1}, \dots, a_n)}{\varpi^{\frac{1}{2}}(a_1, \dots, a_n)} f a_r \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^n \frac{(a_0 - a_1) \dots (a_0 - a_{r-1})(a_0 - a_{r+1}) \dots (a_0 - a_n)}{(a_r - a_1) \dots (a_r - a_{r-1})(a_r - a_{r+1}) \dots (a_r - a_n)} f a_r \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^n (-1)^r C_{n,r} f a_r. \end{aligned}$$

But

$$J^{nh} f a_0 = (-1)^n \sum_{r=0}^n (-1)^r C_{n,r} f a_r,$$

which establishes the lemma.

THEOREM VI. If a function  $fx$  is holomorphic in an interval  $(a\beta)$  containing the points

$$a, a + h, \dots, a + nh,$$

then

$$J^{nh} f a = h^n f^n u,$$

where  $u$  lies between  $a$  and  $a + nh$ .

For, the holomorphic function

$$\frac{\begin{vmatrix} fx & 1 & x & \dots & x^n \\ f a_0 & 1 & a_0 & \dots & a_0^n \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ f a_n & 1 & a_n & \dots & a_n^n \end{vmatrix}}{\varpi^{\frac{1}{2}}(a_1, \dots, a_n)}$$

vanishes at the  $n + 1$  points

$$a_r = a + rh, \quad (r = 0, \dots, n)$$

Therefore its  $n$ th derivative vanishes for some point  $u$  in the interval  $(a, a + nh)$ , and we have

$$\frac{\zeta^b(a_0, \dots, a_n)}{\zeta^b(a_1, \dots, a_n)} f^n u = n! J^{nh} f a.$$

$$\frac{\zeta^b(a_0, \dots, a_n)}{\zeta^b(a_1, \dots, a_n)} = (a_0 - a_1) \dots (a_0 - a_n)$$

$$= n! h^n.$$

$$\therefore J^{nh} f a = h^n f^n u.$$

COROLLARY.

$$\oint_{h=0} J^{nh} f a = \oint_{h=0} f^n u = f^n a.$$

THEOREM VII. If a holomorphic function  $fx$  has zeros  $a$  and  $b$  in its interval  $(a\beta)$ , and if  $a$  is a zero of each of the first  $n$  derivatives, then will the  $(n+1)$ th derivative have a zero  $u$ , between  $a$  and  $b$ .

Since the derivatives are holomorphic functions in  $(a\beta)$ ,  $f'x$  has a zero  $u_1$  in the interval  $(ab)$ .  $f''u$  has a zero in the interval  $(au_1)$ , and so on. Finally,  $f^{n+1}x$  has a zero  $u$ , in the interval  $(au_n)$ . Therefore

$$f^{n+1}u = 0. \quad a < u < b$$

THEOREM VIII. If a holomorphic function  $fx$  has the zeros  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  in its interval  $(a\beta)$ . Then

$$fx = (x - a_1) \dots (x - a_n) \frac{f^n u}{n!},$$

wherein  $u$  lies between the greatest and least of the zeros and  $x$ .

Let  $x_0$  be any fixed point in  $(a\beta)$ . The holomorphic function

$$\begin{vmatrix} fx & 1 & x & \dots & x^n \\ fa_1 & 1 & a_1 & \dots & a_1^n \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ fa_n & 1 & a_n & \dots & a_n^n \\ fx_0 & 1 & x_0 & \dots & x_0^n \end{vmatrix}$$

has the zeros  $x_0, a_1, \dots, a_n$ . Its  $n$ th derivative must have a zero between the greatest and least of these. Therefore

$$f^n u \zeta^b(x_0, a_1, \dots, a_n) - n! f x_0 \zeta^b(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0$$

or

$$fx_0 = (x_0 - a_1) \dots (x_0 - a_n) \frac{f^n u}{n!}.$$

$x_0$  being any point in  $(a_i \beta)$ , the theorem is established.

COROLLARY 1. If a holomorphic function  $fx$  has  $n$  equal values at  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  in its interval, then

$$fx = (x - a_1) \dots (x - a_n) \frac{f^n u}{n!} + c,$$

where  $c$  is constant. For, in the above theorem, suppose

$$fa_1 = fa_2 = \dots = fa_n = fa.$$

Then

$$fx = (x - a_1) \dots (x - a_n) \frac{f^n u}{n!} + fa.$$

COROLLARY 2. If we say that the running together of the  $n$  equal values forms a multiple point of multiplicity  $n$ , then, if  $fx$  has a multiple point of multiplicity  $n$  at  $a$ , we have

$$fx = \frac{(x - a)^n}{n!} f^n u + fa.$$

In particular if the function vanishes at  $a$ , the point  $a$  is called a zero or nullitude point of nullitude  $n$ . If  $a$  be a zero of  $fx$  of nullitude  $n$ , then

$$fx = \frac{(x - a)^n}{n!} f^n u.$$

THEOREM IX. If  $fx$  has a zero of nullitude  $n$  in its interval then this point is a zero of nullitude  $n - 1$  of the derivative of  $fx$ .

Let  $fx$  have the zeros  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ .  $f'x$  has a zero in the  $n - 1$  intervals  $(a_1 a_2), \dots, (a_{n-1} a_n)$ . By the preceding theorem we have

$$f'x = (x - u_1) \dots (x - u_{n-1}) \frac{f^n u'}{(n-1)!}.$$

As  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  converge to  $a$ , so also do  $u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}$ .

Therefore in the limit

$$f'x = \frac{(x - a)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} f^n u',$$

$u'$  between  $x$  and  $a$ . We infer from this result that we may differentiate

$$fx = \frac{(x - a)^n}{n!} f^n u,$$

as though  $u$  were constant.



THEOREM X. *A holomorphic function  $fz$  cannot have an infinite number of equal values uniformly distributed in a finite interval unless the function is constant throughout the interval.*

Let  $fz$  be holomorphic throughout  $(ab)$ , and let  $fa_r = fa$ ,

$$a_r = a + rh, \quad (r = 0, \dots, m)$$

so that  $h = (b - a)/m$  vanishes when  $m = \infty$ .

In virtue of the holomorphic character of  $fz$  and its derivative, we must have

$$\frac{fz - fa_r}{x - a_r} - \frac{fa_{r+1} - fa_r}{h}$$

less than an arbitrarily small assigned value  $\delta$  (which converges to zero when  $h$  converges to zero) when  $h$  is arbitrarily small, for all values of  $x$  between  $a_r$  and  $a_{r+1}$ , whatever be the value of  $r < m$ . The second term of this difference is zero. Therefore we must have

$$\text{mod } \frac{fz - fa}{x - a_r} < \delta.$$

Wherever  $x$  be taken in  $(ab)$  we always have a value  $x - a_r$ , less than  $h$ , such that in absolute value,

$$fz - fa < \delta(x - a_r), \quad \text{or} \quad fz - fa < \delta h.$$

Hence when  $h$  converges to zero ( $m = \infty$ ) we have in the limit

$$fz = fa,$$

for all points in the interval  $(ab)$ .

COROLLARY 1. It follows that all the successive derivatives of  $fz$  vanish for all values of  $x$  between  $a$  and  $b$ .

For the first derivative  $f'z$  has a zero  $u_r$  in the interval  $(a + rh, a + r + 1h)$  ( $r = 0, \dots, n$ ) and vanishes an infinite number of times throughout  $(ab)$ . And so for the successive derivatives.

COROLLARY 2. We may attempt to investigate the value of  $fz$  at any point  $x_0$  in  $(a\beta)$  not in  $(ab)$  when  $fz$  is constant in  $(ab)$ , as follows:—

Consider the function

$$Fz = \begin{vmatrix} fz & 1 & x & \dots & x^{n+1} \\ fa_0 & 1 & a_0 & \dots & a_0^{n+1} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ fa_n & 1 & a_n & \dots & a_n^{n+1} \\ fx_0 & 1 & x_0 & \dots & x_0^{n+1} \end{vmatrix},$$

wherein  $a_r = a + rh$  ( $r = 0, \dots, n$ ) and  $a_n = b$ ,  $a_0 = a$ .  $x_0$  some point in  $(a, b)$  not in  $(ab)$  arbitrarily fixed.  $Fx$  has the same interval of holomorphism as  $fx$ , since  $Fx$  is made up of  $fx$  and a rational integer of degree  $n + 1$ . Let

$$fa = fa_1 = fa_2 = \dots = fa_n.$$

The function  $Fx$  has the value zero at the  $n + 1$  points  $a_r$ . Therefore its  $n$ th derivative must vanish for some point  $u$  in  $(ab)$ , and we have

$$\begin{aligned} fx_0 - fa &= \frac{\xi^{\frac{1}{2}}(a_0, \dots, a_n, x_0)}{\xi^{\frac{1}{2}}(a_0, \dots, a_n)} \frac{f^n u}{(n+1)!} \frac{1}{u - \frac{1}{n+1}} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & a_0, \dots, a_0^{n-1}, a_0^{n+1} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 1 & a_n, \dots, a_n^{n-1}, a_n^{n+1} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \xi^{\frac{1}{2}}(a_0, \dots, a_n) \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \frac{(x_0 - a_0) \dots (x_0 - a_n)}{(n+1)!} f^n u \frac{1}{u - J^{nh} a^{n+1}/(n+1)! h^n}. \end{aligned}$$

In this, we have

$$J^{nh} a^{n+1}/(n+1)! h^n = \frac{1}{2} (a + b),$$

$$\sum_{n=x}^{\infty} \frac{(x_0 - a_0) \dots (x_0 - a_n)}{(n+1)!} = 0.$$

Also, we have  $f^n u = 0$  by the preceding corollary. But,  $u$  being an unknown point in  $(ab)$ , and  $h = (b - a)/n$ , we do not know but that for  $n = \infty$ , we may have (as we probably do),

$$u = J^{nh} a^{n+1}/(n+1)! h^n = \frac{1}{2} (a + b),$$

and therefore cannot say that  $fx_0 = fa$  when  $n = \infty$ . In point of fact, if we let  $n$  be constant and let  $h$  converge to zero  $u$  converges to  $a$ , and so also does

$$\sum_{h=0}^{\infty} \frac{J^{nh} a^{n+1}}{(n+1)! h^n} = \left[ \frac{d}{dx} \right]_x=a^n \frac{x^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} = a.$$

### III.

#### MONOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS. INFINITE SERIES.

§ 10. A holomorphic function  $fx$  is said to be monomorphic throughout an interval  $(a, b)$ , when for all points  $x$ ,  $a$ , and  $u$  in that interval, we have

$$\sum_{n=x}^{\infty} \frac{(x-a)^n}{n!} f^n u = 0. \quad (u \text{ between } x \text{ and } a)$$

This interval of monomorphism will be called the *region* of the function. The following corollaries flow from the preceding theorems:—

A monomorphic function cannot have an infinite number of equal values in any finite interval (however small) without being constant throughout its entire region. It cannot have an infinite number of equal values in any infinitesimal interval, that is it cannot have a multiple point of infinite multiplicity, without being constant throughout its entire region.

In particular, it cannot have an infinite number of zeros in any finite or infinitesimal (zero of infinite nullitude) interval without vanishing throughout its region.

If a monomorphic function has an infinity of equal values, zeros, a point of infinite multiplicity, or a zero of infinite nullitude; then all the successive derivatives of the function vanish throughout its region.

Two monomorphic functions  $\varphi x$  and  $\psi x$  which are equal over any finite interval, are equal all over their common region of monomorphism. For their difference vanishes an infinite number of times in the equality interval.

**THEOREM XI.** *If two holomorphic functions  $\varphi x$  and  $\psi x$  have a common zero  $a$ , then the ratios  $\varphi x/\psi x$  and  $\varphi'x/\psi'x$  converge to the same limit as  $x$  converges to  $a$ .*

Let  $x = a + h$ , then

$$\frac{\varphi x}{\psi x} = \frac{J\varphi a}{J\psi a} = \frac{J\varphi a/h}{J\psi a/h}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x=a} \frac{\varphi x}{\psi x} &= \lim_{h=0} \frac{J\varphi a/h}{J\psi a/h} = \frac{\varphi' a}{\psi' a} \\ &= \lim_{x=a} \frac{\varphi' x}{\psi' x}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $a$  is a common zero of  $\varphi'x$  and  $\psi'x$ , then the ratio  $\varphi x/\psi x$  converges to the same limit as does  $\varphi''x/\psi''x$  when  $x$  converges to  $a$ , as is easily shown by a repetition of the above. Generally, if the first  $r$  derivatives of  $\varphi x$  and  $\psi x$  have the common zero  $a$  with  $\varphi x$  and  $\psi x$ , then the ratios  $\varphi x/\psi x$  and  $\varphi^{r+1}x/\psi^{r+1}x$  converge to the same limit as  $x$  converges to  $a$ .

§ 11. **THEOREM XII.** *When a function is monomorphic throughout a certain finite interval  $(a\beta)$  containing the point  $a$ , it can be expanded in an infinite series of positive integral powers of  $x - a$ , converging for all points within  $(a\beta)$ .*

*First Proof:*—Let  $b$  be a fixed point in  $(a\beta)$ . Divide the interval  $(ab)$  into  $n$  equal parts equal  $h$ . For convenience let

$$E^r f a = f'(a + rh). \quad (r = 0, \dots, n)$$

The function

$$Fx = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} fx & 1 & x & \dots & x^n \\ E^0 fa & 1 & E^0 a & \dots & E^0 a^n \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ E^n fa & 1 & E^n a & \dots & E^n a^n \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} 1 & E^0 a & \dots & E^0 a^n \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 1 & E^n a & \dots & E^n a^n \end{vmatrix}}$$

is a holomorphic ( $n$  finite) in the interval  $(a\beta)$ , which has the  $n+1$  zeros

$$a + rh, \quad (r = 0, \dots, n)$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} Fx &= (x-a)(x-a-h)\dots(x-b) \frac{f^{n+1}u}{(n+1)!} \\ &= (x-a)(x-a-h)\dots(x-b) \frac{f^{n+1}u}{(n+1)!}, \end{aligned}$$

$u$  in  $(a, b, x)$ . Let  $x$  be a point in the interval  $(ab)$ . Then

$$\text{mod } Fx < \frac{(a-b)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} f^{n+1}u,$$

which vanishes when  $n = \infty$  since  $fx$  is monomorphic.  $Fx$  vanishing throughout  $(ab)$  ( $n = \infty$ ), vanishes throughout  $(a\beta)$ .

In the determinant form of  $Fx$ , regard the terms of each column as forming a sequence. Begin with the second term from the top and *completely difference* each column. This will be called the *complete difference* of the determinant. The complete differencing of any determinant does not alter its value. After forming the complete difference of the determinants in  $Fx$ , divide the numerator and denominator by

$$h^{1/2(n+1)}/n!!,$$

distributed as shown below. We obtain

$$Fx = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} fx & 1 & x & \dots & x^n \\ fa & 1 & a & \dots & a^n \\ J'fa & 0 & J'a & \dots & J'a^n \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ J^n fa & 0 & J^n a & \dots & J^n a^n \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} J'a & \dots & J'a^n \\ 1!h & \dots & n!h^n \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ J^n a & \dots & J^n a^n \\ 1!h & \dots & n!h^n \end{vmatrix}}$$



As  $n$  becomes infinitely large  $h = (a - b)/n$  becomes infinitely small. Hence throughout  $(a, \beta)$ , we have

$$\begin{vmatrix} f'x & 1 & x & x^2/2! & \dots \\ f'a & 1 & a & a^2/2! & \dots \\ f''a & 0 & 1 & a/1! & \dots \\ f'''a & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{vmatrix} = 0,$$

or

$$f'x = f'a + (x - a)f''a + \frac{(x - a)^2}{2!}f'''a + \dots$$

LEMMA. The expansion of the above determinant is effected by means of the identity

$$A_n = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & x & \dots & \frac{x^n}{n!} \\ 1 & a & \dots & \frac{a^n}{n!} \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & \frac{a^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1, a \end{vmatrix} = \frac{(a - x)^n}{n!}.$$

For,

$$A_n = C_n - C_{n-1}x + C_{n-2}\frac{x^2}{2!} - \dots + (-1)^n \frac{x^n}{n!},$$

wherein

$$C_r = \begin{vmatrix} a & \dots & a^r \\ 1! & \dots & r! \\ 1 & \dots & \frac{a^{r-1}}{(r-1)!} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & 1, a \end{vmatrix}.$$

$C_r = a^r/r!$ , for  $r = 1, 2, 3$ . Suppose this is true for  $p - 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} C_p &= C_{p-1}a - C_{p-2}\frac{a^2}{2!} + \dots + (-1)^{p+1}\frac{a^p}{p!} \\ &= \frac{a^{p-1}}{(p-1)!1!} - \frac{a^{p-2}}{(p-2)!2!} + \dots + (-1)^{p+1}\frac{a^0}{0!p!} \\ &= \frac{a^p}{p!} - \left[ \frac{a^p a^0}{p!0!} - \frac{a^{p-1}}{(p-1)!1!} + \dots + (-1)^p \frac{a^0}{0!p!} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The second term of the second member is zero, being

$$\frac{a^p}{p!} (1-1)^p.$$

Hence,  $C_r = a^r/r!$  for all integral values of  $r$ , and we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_n &= \frac{a^n}{n!} - \frac{a^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \frac{x^1}{1!} + \dots + (-1)^n \frac{x^n}{n!}, \\ &= \frac{(a-x)^n}{n!}. \end{aligned}$$

Otherwise and more simply (the use of the lemma may be avoided) thus; multiply the  $(r+2)$ th row by  $(x-a)^r/r!$  ( $r=1, 2, 3, \dots$ ), and divide the corresponding columns by the same quantities. Subtract each row below the first from the first. All elements in the first row vanish except the first, which is

$$fx - fa - \sum (x-a)^r f^r a / r!.$$

All terms of the determinant vanish except the diagonal term, and each element of the diagonal is unity except the first as written above.

*Second Proof:* The monomorphic function  $Fx$  has the  $n+1$  zeros  $a+rh$  ( $r=0, \dots, n$ ). Therefore by Theorem VIII, we have

$$Fx = (x-a) \dots (x-a-nh) \frac{F^{n+1}u}{(n+1)!}.$$

Let  $n$  remain constant and let  $h$  converge to zero. Whence

$$\begin{vmatrix} fx & 1 & x & \dots & x^n \\ fa & 1 & a & \dots & a^n \\ f'a & 0 & 1 & \dots & a^{n-1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ f^na & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{vmatrix} = \frac{(x-a)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} f^{n+1}u,$$

or

$$fx = fa + \frac{(x-a)^1}{1!} f'a + \dots + \frac{(x-a)^n}{n!} f^na + \frac{(x-a)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} f^{n+1}u.$$

Since  $fx$  is monomorphic the last term vanishes when  $n = \infty$ , and the infinite series is equal to  $fx$  throughout ( $a, \beta$ ).

*Third Proof:*—Let  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ , and  $a$  be any points in  $(a, \beta)$ . The function

$$F_x = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} f^x & 1 & x & \dots & x^n \\ f^a & 1 & a & \dots & a^n \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ f^{a_n} & 1 & a_n & \dots & a_n^n \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} 1 & a & \dots & a^n \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 1 & a_n & \dots & a_n^n \end{vmatrix}},$$

has the  $n+1$  zeros  $a, a_1, \dots, a_n$ . Therefore by Theorem VIII we have

$$F_x = (x-a) \dots (x-a_n) \frac{F^{n+1}a}{(n+1)!}.$$

But the value of  $F_x$  given by the above ratio is a function of  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ , and takes the indeterminate form  $0/0$  when  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  converge to  $a$  as a limit. To evaluate this limit we apply the method of Theorem XI by operating on the numerator and denominator of the ratio with

$$\left[ \frac{z}{z-a_1} \right]_{a_1=a} \dots \left[ \frac{z}{z-a_n} \right]_{a_n=a},$$

which produces identically the same result as the last proof.

*Fourth Proof:* (After Homersham Cox and Cauchy).

The holomorphic function

$$F_x = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} f^x & 1 & x & \dots & x^n \\ f^a & 1 & a & \dots & a^n \\ f^{a'} & 0 & 1 & \dots & a^{n-1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ f^{na} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} 1 & a & \dots & a^n \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 1 & a_n & \dots & a_n^n \end{vmatrix}},$$

and its first  $n$  derivatives vanish at  $a$ . Change  $x$  into  $x_0$ , where  $x_0$  is any arbitrary fixed point (not  $a$ ) in  $(a, \beta)$ . Consider the function

$$J_x = (x_0 - a)^{n+1} F_x - (x - a)^{n+1} F_{x_0}.$$

This function is holomorphic in  $(a, \beta)$  and has the zeros  $a$  and  $x_0$ . Its first  $n$  derivatives have the common zero  $a$ . Therefore by Theorem VII its

$(n + 1)$ th derivative must have a zero  $u$ , between  $a$  and  $x_0$ . Hence

$$(x_0 - a)^{n+1} F^{n+1}u - (n + 1)! Fx_0 = 0,$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} Fx &= \frac{(x - a)^{n+1}}{(n + 1)!} F^{n+1}u \\ &= \frac{(x - a)^{n+1}}{(n + 1)!} f^{n+1}u. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $x_0$  is any point in  $(a, \beta)$ .

*Final Proof.\** We may avoid the expansion of the determinant given in the lemma of the first proof, as follows:—

The function

$$Fx = \begin{vmatrix} f'x & 1 & x & \dots & x^n \\ f'a & 1 & a & \dots & a^n \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ f'a_n & 1 & a_n & \dots & a_n^n \end{vmatrix}$$

$$\zeta f'x + \varphi x,$$

wherein  $\zeta = \zeta^1(a, a_1, \dots, a_n)$  and  $\varphi x$  is a rational integral function of degree  $n$ , has the zeros  $a, a_1, \dots, a_n$  in  $(a, \beta)$ , and must have the same interval of monomorphism with  $f'x$ . We have,  $x$  and  $x + h$  in  $(a, \beta)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} F(x + h) &= \zeta f'(x + h) + \varphi(x + h) \\ &= \zeta f'(x + h) + \varphi x + \frac{h}{1!} \varphi'x + \dots + \frac{h^n}{n!} \varphi^n x. \end{aligned} \quad (i)$$

Since  $Fx$  has  $n + 1$  zeros its  $n$ th derivative has a zero among them. Therefore we have

$$F^r x = \zeta f^r x + \varphi^r x, \quad (r = 0, \dots, n - 1)$$

and

$$F^n u = \zeta f^n u + \varphi^n u = 0.$$

Multiply these  $n + 1$  equations by  $h^r/r!$  ( $r = 0, \dots, n$ ) and add them together. Subtract the resulting equation from (i), whence results

$$f'(x + h) - \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \frac{h^r}{r!} f^r x - \frac{h^n}{n!} f^n u = \frac{1}{\zeta} \left[ F(x + h) - \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \frac{h^r}{r!} F^r x \right].$$

\* American Journal of Mathematics, July, 1893.



Let  $a = x$  and  $a_n = x + h$ . Then  $F^r x$  and  $F^r(x + h)$  vanish, and the second member of this equation becomes

$$-\sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \frac{h^r}{r!} F^r x = \zeta^{\frac{1}{2}}(x, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, x + h),$$

which takes the form  $0/0$  as  $a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}$  converge to  $x$ . To evaluate this limit, apply to each term of the ratio, the operator

$$\left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial a_1} \right]_{a_1=x}^1 \dots \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial a_{n-1}} \right]_{a_{n-1}=x}^{n-1}$$

Since  $\left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial a_r} \right]_{a_r=x}^r$  causes  $F^r x$  to vanish ( $r = 1, \dots, n-1$ ), the numerator of this ratio is zero in the limit. While

$$\left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial a_1} \right]_{a_1=x}^1 \dots \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial a_{n-1}} \right]_{a_{n-1}=x}^{n-1} \zeta^{\frac{1}{2}}(x, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, x + h) = (n-1)!! h^n.$$

Consequently, the limit of the ratio in question is zero, and we have

$$f(x+h) = f x + h f' x + \dots + \frac{h^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} f^{n-1} x + \frac{h^n}{n!} f^n x,$$

which is unconditionally convergent and equal to  $f x$  when  $n = \infty$  for all values of  $x$  and  $x + h$  in  $(a, \beta)$ .

COROLLARY. If zero is a point of the interval  $(a, \beta)$ , then putting  $a = 0$

$$f x = f 0 + x f' 0 + \dots + \frac{x^n}{n!} f^n 0 + \frac{x^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} f^{n+1} 0,$$

as a particular case, and as before we may make  $n = \infty$ .

Also, when zero is a point in  $(a, \beta)$ , we have

$$f 0 = f a - a f' a + \frac{a^2}{2!} f'' a + \dots,$$

by putting  $x = 0$ .

THEOREM XIII. The  $r$ th derivative of the series

$$f a + \frac{(x-a)^1}{1!} f' a + \frac{(x-a)^2}{2!} f'' a + \dots \text{ad. inf.}$$

formed by taking the sum of the  $r$ th derivatives of each term, is equal to the  $r$ th derivative of the function  $f x$  for all points in the interval  $(a, \beta)$  of monomorphism of the function.

For, the  $r$ th derivative of the monomorphic function

$$F_x = \begin{vmatrix} f'x & 1 & x & \dots & x^n/n! \\ f'a & 1 & a & \dots & a^n/n! \\ f''a & 0 & 1 & \dots & a^{n-1}/(n-1)! \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ f^na & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{vmatrix}$$

is holomorphic in the interval  $(a, \beta)$ . This derivative  $F^r_x$  ( $r < n$ ) has the zero  $a$ , and its first  $n - r$  derivatives also have the zero  $a$ . Let  $x_0$  be a fixed value in  $(a, \beta)$ . Consider the function

$$J_x = \frac{(x_0 - a)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} F^r_x - \frac{(x - a)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} F^r_{x_0}$$

This function is monomorphic throughout the interval  $(a, \beta)$ . It has the zeros  $a$  and  $x_0$  and its first  $n - r$  derivatives have the common zero  $a$ . Consequently, its  $(n + 1 - r)$ th derivative must have a zero ( $\theta$ ) between  $x_0$  and  $a$ , and we have

$$\frac{(x_0 - a)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} F^{n+1}_x - \frac{(x - a)^r}{r!} F^r_{x_0} = 0,$$

or since  $x_0$  is any point in  $(a, \beta)$

$$\begin{aligned} F^r_x &= \frac{r!}{(n - a)^r} \frac{(x - a)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} F^{n+1}_x \\ &= \frac{r!}{(n - a)^r} \frac{(x - a)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} f^{n+1}_x, \\ &= \frac{r!}{\theta^r} \frac{(x - a)^{n+1-r}}{(n+1)!} f^{n+1}_x, \quad (0 < \theta < 1) \end{aligned}$$

which vanishes when  $n = \infty$  for any finite value  $r$ . Therefore

$$f^r_x = f^r_a + \frac{(x - a)^1}{1!} f^{r+1}_a + \frac{(x - a)^2}{2!} f^{r+2}_a + \dots \text{ad. inf.}$$

for all values of  $x$  in  $(a, \beta)$ .

We observe, that we may differentiate the series with remainder after  $n$ th term as given in the second proof of XII, just as though  $a$  remained constant during the operation. For, we may write

$$J_x = \frac{(x_0 - a)^{n-r+1}}{(n - r + 1)!} F^r_x - \frac{(x - a)^{n-r+1}}{(n - r + 1)!} F^r_{x_0}.$$

From which we obtain

$$F^r x = \frac{(x-a)^{n+1-r}}{(n+1-r)!} f^{n+1} a,$$

which is a different form of the remainder from that obtained above, leading to the same result, and which is what would be obtained by differentiating

$$Fx = \frac{(x-a)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} f^{n+1} a$$

$r$  times. The  $a$ 's in the two forms of course not having the same value.

**THEOREM XIV.** *If a function  $fx$  be monomorphic throughout any finite interval  $(a, \beta)$ , it can be expanded in an infinite series of positive integral powers of  $x$ , converging for all points in  $(a, \beta)$ .*

Expanding the determinantal form of the second proof of Theorem XI, by its first row, we have

$$fx = A_0 + A_1 x + A_2 \frac{x^2}{2!} + \dots + A_n \frac{x^n}{n!} + \frac{(x-a)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} f^{n+1} a,$$

wherein

$$A_r = \begin{vmatrix} f^r a & a & \dots & \frac{a^{n-r}}{(n-r)!} \\ f^{r+1} a & 1 & \dots & \frac{a^{n-r-1}}{(n-r-1)!} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ f^n a & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$f^r a - a f^{r+1} a + \frac{a^2}{2!} f^{r+2} a + \dots + (-1)^{n-r} \frac{a^{n-r}}{(n-r)!} f^n a.$$

The series is evidently convergent when  $n = \infty$ , for all values of  $x$  in  $(a, \beta)$ .

**COROLLARY 1.** If zero be a point in  $(a, \beta)$ , then putting  $a = 0$  we reduce the series as before to that of Corollary of Theorem XII. (Maclaurin's series).

**COROLLARY 2.** In illustration, we notice that if zero be a point in  $(a, \beta)$ , then in virtue of Bernoulli's series derivable directly from XII, we have

$$A_r = f^r 0 = f^r a - a f^{r+1} a + \frac{a^2}{2!} f^{r+2} 0 - \dots \text{ad. inf.}$$

Therefore the above series may be written in the particular form of Maclaurin's series

$$fx = f0 + x f'0 + \dots + \frac{x^n}{n!} f^n 0 + \dots$$

whenever zero is a point in  $(a, \beta)$  and not otherwise (Cor. XII).

§ 12. An even function is one which does not change its value when the sign of the argument is changed. Thus

$$fa = f(-a).$$

An odd function is one which changes its sign but not its absolute value, when the sign of the argument is changed. Thus

$$fa = -f(-a).$$

THEOREM XV. *An even (odd) monomorphic function can be expanded in an infinite series of positive even (odd) integral powers of the variable for all points in the interval of monomorphism.*

By the preceding theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= A'_0 + A'_1x + A'_2x^2 + \dots, \\ f(-x) &= A'_0 - A'_1x + A'_2x^2 - \dots \end{aligned}$$

By addition, we have, if the function is even

$$fx = A'_0 + A'_2x^2 + A'_4x^4 + \dots$$

By subtraction, we have, if the function is odd

$$fx = A'_1x + A'_3x^3 + A'_5x^5 + \dots$$

COROLLARY 1. The derivatives of even order of an even (odd) function are even (odd) functions. The derivatives of odd order of an even (odd) function are odd (even) functions, and are expressible, when the functions are monomorphic, in infinite series of integral powers which are obtained by differentiating the infinite series of the function.

COROLLARY 2. If zero is a point in the monomorphic interval of  $fx$ , then at zero  $fx = a_0$  if  $fx$  is even.  $fx = 0$  if  $fx$  is odd.

COROLLARY 3. A periodic function is defined as one which repeats its values in the same order in successive equal intervals, thus

$$fx = f(x \pm r\rho)$$

for all integral values of  $r$ ,  $\rho$  being the constant interval or period of the function. It follows from the above that

$$f^nx = f^n(x \pm r\rho)$$

if  $fx$  is holomorphic.

If  $fx$  is an even (odd) periodic monomorphic function, its derivatives of even (odd) order are even (odd) periodic monomorphic functions, and derivatives of odd (even) order are odd (even) functions.



The derivatives of odd (even) order of an even (odd) periodic holomorphic function vanish at the points  $\pm rp$  ( $r = 0, \dots, n$ ).

§ 13. THEOREM XVI. *On the expansion of a monomorphic function  $fz$  in terms of an infinite series of monomorphic functions  $\varphi_r z$  ( $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ) whose law of formation with respect to  $r$  is given.*

Let  $fz$  and  $\varphi_r z$  be monomorphic functions throughout an interval  $(a, \beta)$ . The object of the investigation is to effect the design of a convergent series

$$\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} A_r \varphi_r z,$$

(in which the coefficients  $A_r$  are independent of  $z$ ) such that the difference between the series and the function  $fz$  shall vanish throughout  $(a, \beta)$ .

Consider the function

$$\sum_{r=0}^n A_r \varphi_r z,$$

in which, in order to provide an absolute term, we put  $\varphi_0 z = 1$ .

Let

$$F(z, n) = fz - \sum_{r=0}^n A_r \varphi_r z.$$

Let  $a, a_1, \dots, a_n$  be points in  $(a, \beta)$ . Then at these points we have

$$F(a_r, n) = fa_r - \sum_{r=0}^n A_r \varphi_r a_r, \quad (r = 0, \dots, n)$$

In these  $n + 1$  equations we have the  $n + 1$  undetermined values  $A_r$  ( $r = 0, \dots, n$ ). Let the conditions which determine these be

$$F(a_r, n) = 0, \quad (r = 0, \dots, n)$$

Then we have

$$F(z, n) = \begin{vmatrix} fz & \varphi_0 z & \dots & \varphi_n z \\ fa & \varphi_0 a & \dots & \varphi_n a \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ fa_n & \varphi_0 a_n & \dots & \varphi_n a_n \end{vmatrix} \div \begin{vmatrix} \varphi_0 a & \dots & \varphi_n a \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \varphi_0 a_n & \dots & \varphi_n a_n \end{vmatrix}, \quad (i)$$

$$fz = \sum_{r=0}^n A_r \varphi_r z,$$

in which  $A_r$  is independent of  $z$  and is determined by expanding the determinant with respect to its first row.

*First*:—We treat the function  $F(x, n)$  as given by (i) in the following independent manner:\*. Let  $a$  and  $a_n$  be two points in  $(a, \beta)$  and let

$$a_r = a + rh, \quad (r = 0, \dots, n)$$

so that  $h = (a_n - a)/n$ .

Completely difference the two determinants in (i), beginning with the second row in the numerator and the first in the denominator. Then divide the row of  $p$ th differences by  $h^p$  ( $p = 1, \dots, n$ ), in the two terms of the ratio. These operations do not change the value of  $F(x, n)$ . Now let  $a_n$  converge to  $a$  as a limit,  $h$  converging to zero. We have

$$F(x, n)_{a_n=a} = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} f'x & 1 & \varphi_1 x & \dots & \varphi_n x \\ f'a & 1 & \varphi_1 a & \dots & \varphi_n a \\ f''a & 0 & \varphi_1' a & \dots & \varphi_n' a \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ f^{(n)}a & 0 & \varphi_1^{(n)} a & \dots & \varphi_n^{(n)} a \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} f'a & 1 & \varphi_1 a & \dots & \varphi_n a \\ f''a & 0 & \varphi_1' a & \dots & \varphi_n' a \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ f^{(n)}a & 0 & \varphi_1^{(n)} a & \dots & \varphi_n^{(n)} a \end{vmatrix}} \quad (ii)$$

\* This method holds good for holomorphic functions of a complex variable as well as for monomorphic functions of a real variable. For, let  $f$  and  $\varphi_r$  be holomorphic functions of  $z$  throughout a certain area  $C$ . The functions are expansible in Taylor's series throughout  $C$ . Let  $R_f$  and  $R_{\varphi_r}$  be the remainders after the  $n$ th term in Taylor's expansion of these functions. Then by the same method of proof as above we get  $(\varphi_0 z - 1)$ ,

$$\frac{\begin{vmatrix} f'z & 1 & \varphi_1 z & \dots & \varphi_n z \\ f'a & 1 & \varphi_1 a & \dots & \varphi_n a \\ f'a & 0 & \varphi_1' a & \dots & \varphi_n' a \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ f^{(n)}a & 0 & \varphi_1^{(n)} a & \dots & \varphi_n^{(n)} a \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} f'a & 1 & \varphi_1 a & \dots & \varphi_n a \\ f''a & 0 & \varphi_1' a & \dots & \varphi_n' a \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ f^{(n)}a & 0 & \varphi_1^{(n)} a & \dots & \varphi_n^{(n)} a \end{vmatrix}} = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} R_f & R_{\varphi_1} & \dots & R_{\varphi_n} \\ f'a & \varphi_1' a & \dots & \varphi_n' a \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ f^{(n)}a & \varphi_1^{(n)} a & \dots & \varphi_n^{(n)} a \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} f'a & 1 & \varphi_1 a & \dots & \varphi_n a \\ f''a & 0 & \varphi_1' a & \dots & \varphi_n' a \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ f^{(n)}a & 0 & \varphi_1^{(n)} a & \dots & \varphi_n^{(n)} a \end{vmatrix}},$$

or

$$f'z - f'a - \sum_{r=1}^n A_r \varphi_r z = R_f - \sum_{r=1}^n A_r R_{\varphi_r}.$$

If  $\sum_{r=1}^n A_r$  be convergent then the second member vanishes when  $n = \infty$ , since  $R_f$  and  $R_{\varphi_r}$  vanish.

Therefore

$$f'z = f'a + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} A_r \varphi_r z$$

for all values of  $z$  in  $C$ . In like manner we show that

$$f^{(m)}z = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} A_r \varphi_r^{(m)} z$$

throughout  $C$ .



Expanding both  $W$  and  $W_p$  with respect to their  $p$ th rows, we have

$$B_p = \frac{\sum_{r=1}^n (-1)^{r+1} \frac{W_{rp}(x-a)^{n+1}}{W^r (n+1)!} \varphi_r^{n+1} a_r}{\sum_{r=1}^n (-1)^{r+1} \frac{W_{rp}(x-a)^p}{W^r p!} \varphi_r^p a_r},$$

wherein  $W_{rp}$  is the  $r$ th  $p$ th minor of  $W$ . The ratio  $W_{rp}/W$  in the ratio  $B_p$  may be taken to be the ratio of the  $r$ th  $p$ th minor of the Wronskian

$$|\varphi_1' a, \dots, \varphi_n' a|$$

to the Wronskian. We will consider it as such and call this the *Wronskian-ratio* of the series. It is, as will be shown, the ratio upon which depends the convergency of the series and its equality with  $f'x$ . For, if when  $n = \infty$

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} W_{rp}/W^r$$

is a convergent series, the numerator of  $B_p$  vanishes when  $n = \infty$ . This condition includes the condition that the Wronskian  $|\varphi_1' a, \dots, \varphi_n' a|$  shall not vanish, or that there must not be a linear relation between the functions

$$\varphi_1' x, \dots, \varphi_n' x$$

at the point  $a$ . This condition is sufficient but not necessary.

Under this condition we assert that the function  $F(x, \infty)$  of (ii) vanishes throughout  $(a, \beta)$  and we have

$$f'x - f'a = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} A_r (\varphi_r' x - \varphi_r' a),$$

wherein

$$A_r = (-1)^{r+1} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{p+1} \frac{W_{rp}}{W^r} f^p a.$$

*Second* :—The function  $F(x, n)$  of (i) is holomorphic in the interval  $(a, \beta)$  and has the zeros  $a, a_1, \dots, a_n$ . Therefore

$$F(x, n) = (x-a) \dots (x-a_n) \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right]_{x=a}^{n+1} \frac{F(x, n)}{(n+1)!}.$$

When the  $a$ 's converge to  $a$ , this becomes

$$F(x, n)_{a_r=a} = \frac{(x-a)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} F^{n+1}(a, n),$$

$a$  between  $x$  and  $a$ . But the determinant ratio (i) takes the form 0/0 when the

$a$ 's converge to  $a$ . To remove this indetermination we apply to the numerator and denominator, the operator

$$\left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial a_1} \right]_{a_1=a} \cdots \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial a_n} \right]_{a_n=a}.$$

Whence results (ii) provided

$$\varphi_1' a, \dots, \varphi_n' a$$

does not vanish. Consequently

$$f'x - f'a = \sum_{r=1}^n A_r (\varphi_r x - \varphi_r a) = \frac{(x-a)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} \left[ f^{n+1} a - \sum_{r=1}^n A_r \varphi_r^{n+1} a \right], \quad (\text{iii})$$

wherein

$$A_r = (-1)^{r+1} \sum_{p=1}^n (-1)^{p+1} \frac{W_{rp}}{W} f^p a,$$

$W = |\varphi_1' a \dots \varphi_n' a|$  and  $W_{rp}$  is the  $r$ th  $p$ th minor of  $W$ .  $a$  lies between  $x$  and  $a$ .

In general, in order that we may have

$$f'x = f'a + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} A_r (\varphi_r x - \varphi_r a)$$

throughout  $(a, \beta)$ , it is sufficient that  $\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} A_r$  shall be convergent. This condition depends on the convergency of the series  $\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} W_{rp} / W$ . We may now enunciate the theorem and say:—

The monomorphic function  $f'x$  can always be expanded in an infinite series of monomorphic functions  $\varphi_r x$  ( $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ), whenever

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{r+1} (-1)^{p+1} \frac{W_{rp}}{W} f^p a$$

is convergent.  $W_{rp}$  being the  $r$ th  $p$ th minor of the Wronskian

$$\varphi_1' a, \dots, \varphi_n' a.$$

The series being equal to  $f'x$  for all points in their common region of monomorphism.

COROLLARY 1. When  $\sum A_r$  is convergent we have

$$f'x = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} A_r \varphi_r x$$

throughout the common region of the functions, also, the series has an unlimited number of derivatives which are equal to the corresponding derivatives of the function  $f'x$  for all points throughout the region.

For, we have

$$F^m(x, n) = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} f^m x & \zeta_1^m x & \dots & \zeta_n^m x \\ f' a & \zeta_1' a & \dots & \zeta_n' a \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ f^n a & \zeta_1^n a & \dots & \zeta_n^n a \end{vmatrix}}{\zeta_1' a, \dots, \zeta_n' a}$$

holomorphic in  $(a, \beta)$ . Let  $x_0$  be an arbitrary fixed point in  $(a, \beta)$ . Consider the function

$$Jx = \frac{(x_0 - a)^{n+1-m}}{(n+1-m)!} F^m(x, n) - \frac{(x - a)^{n+1-m}}{(n+1-m)!} F^m(x_0, n).$$

$Jx$  and its first  $n-m$  derivatives vanish at  $a$ ,  $Jx$  also vanishes at  $x_0$ . Therefore its  $(n+1-m)$ th derivative vanishes at some point  $u'$  between  $a$  and  $x_0$ . Consequently

$$F^m(x_0, n) = \frac{(x_0 - a)^{n+1-m}}{(n+1-m)!} F^{n+1-m}(u', n);$$

or, since  $x_0$  is any point in  $(a, \beta)$ , we have

$$f^m x - \sum_{r=0}^n A_r \zeta_r^m x = \frac{(x-a)^{n+1-m}}{(n+1-m)!} \left[ f^{n+1} u' - \sum_{r=1}^n A_r \zeta_r^{n+1} u' \right],$$

the second member of which vanishes when  $n = \infty$  if  $\sum A_r$  is convergent. We observe, from this result, that we may differentiate (iii) as though  $n$  were a constant.

Again, if we put

$$Jx = \frac{(x_0 - a)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} F^m(x, n) - \frac{(x - a)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} F^m(x_0, n),$$

we get

$$F^m(x, n) = \frac{m!}{(u' - a)^m} \frac{(x - a)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} \left[ f^{n+1} u' - \sum_{r=1}^n A_r \zeta_r^{n+1} u' \right],$$

which makes the vanishing of the second member with  $n = \infty$  and  $\sum A_r$  convergent, more immediately evident.

COROLLARY 2. Let  $R_f(x)$  be the value of the second member of (ii), and  $R_\psi(x)$  be its value when we have the holomorphic function  $fx$  replaced by another holomorphic function  $\psi x$ , having  $(a, \beta)$  for a common region. Then will we have, in general,

$$R_f(x)/R_\psi(x) = \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right]_{x=u}^{n+1} R_f(x) / \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right]_{x=u}^{n+1} R_\psi(x)$$



wherein  $u$  lies between  $x$  and  $a$ , and  $R_\psi x$  and  $R_\psi^{n+1}(u)$  are not zero. Let

$$Jx = R_\psi(x_0) R_f(x) - R_\psi(x) R_f(x_0),$$

$x_0$  being any fixed point in  $(a, \beta)$ .

$Jx$  is holomorphic in  $(a, \beta)$  and vanishes together with its first  $n$  derivatives at  $a$ ; it also vanishes at  $x_0$ . Its  $(n+1)$ th derivative must vanish at some point  $u$  between  $x_0$  and  $a$ ;

$$\therefore R_\psi(x_0) R_f^{n+1}(u) = R_f(x_0) R_\psi^{n+1}(u).$$

Since  $x_0$  is any point in  $(a, \beta)$  we may write

$$R_f(x) = \frac{R_\psi(x)}{R_\psi^{n+1}(u)} R_f^{n+1}(u).$$

We may if we choose let  $R_\psi(x) = \varphi_{n+1}x$ . The particular case

$$R_\psi(x) = (x-a)^{n+1}/(n+1)!,$$

is

$$R_f(x) = \frac{(x-a)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} R_f^{n+1}(u).$$

Which is Theorem XVI.

COROLLARY 3. If  $f x$  is an even (odd) function,  $\varphi, x$  are even (odd) functions and conversely. That is to say  $f x$  has a common region with the even (odd) functions  $\varphi, x$  only when it is even (odd).

COROLLARY 4. If  $f x$  is an even (odd) function having a common interval, containing zero, with even (odd) functions  $\varphi, x$ , then must the odd (even) derivative rows in the numerator and denominator of (ii) be omitted, when  $a = 0$ .

For these odd (even) derivatives all vanish at zero. Therefore, if we leave them out of the determinants, we have as before,  $F(x, u)_{a=a}$  vanishing as well as its first  $n$  derivatives at  $x = 0$ . Applying the method of the second proof, this function also vanishes at  $x_0$ , and we deduce the same results as before with the rows in question left out.

COROLLARY 5. In general, if any two rows have all the elements in one row equal for  $x = a$ , and these elements differ from the corresponding elements in the other row by an addition or factor constant, then one of them must be omitted. The proof is the same as above. If any number of such rows are so related, then all but one must be omitted. These last two corollaries do not present exceptions to the general theorem, but merely particularizations. The omission of these rows is merely a method of eliminating the indetermination caused by their presence.

COROLLARY 6. If  $\varphi_r x$  ( $r = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ) be rational integral functions of degree  $r$ , then we have for the value of (ii)

$$F(x, n)_{a_n=a} = \frac{(x-a)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} f^{n+1} a,$$

and if  $f x$  is monomorphic in  $(a, \beta)$ , the series

$$f x = f a + \sum_{r=1}^n A_r (\varphi_r x - \varphi_r a) + \frac{(x-a)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} f^{n+1} a$$

is unconditionally convergent in  $(a, \beta)$ , when  $n = \infty$ .

#### IV. METAMORPHIC FUNCTIONS.

§ 14. Let us call those functions *metamorphic*, which are holomorphic for finite values of the argument, but which cease to be holomorphic when the argument becomes infinite.

Thus, let  $\varphi(a_r x)$  be a periodic function in which  $a_r$  is a function of the number  $r$ , such that  $a_r$  increases without limit along with  $r$ , but is finite for finite values of  $r$ . The function  $\varphi(a_r x)$  is supposed holomorphic when  $r$  is finite, but will, in general, become indeterminate when  $r = \infty$ . Its  $r$ th derivative being generally an infinity of the  $r$ th infinitude when  $r = \infty$ . Thus

$$\left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right]^r \varphi(a_r x) = a_r^r \varphi^r(a_r x).$$

While the complexity of the remainder will generally render the quantitative study of the expansion of a holomorphic function in terms of metamorphic functions by the preceding method, difficult if not impossible, it is interesting and important that we should attack the problem, since the qualitative analysis is complete in itself and the results serve to illustrate the limitations which surround this general method in its applications to close analysis. Let us consider the following:

THEOREM XVII. *On the expansion of a holomorphic function in terms of an infinite series of metamorphic functions.*

Let  $f(x+h)$  be a function which is holomorphic in a certain interval  $(a, \beta)$  containing  $x+h$  and  $h$ , and

$$\varphi_r(h + a_r b x) \quad (r = 1, \dots, n)$$

the series of metamorphic functions.

By the corollary to XVI, since the functions are all holomorphic for  $n$  finite, we have

$$R_f(x) = \frac{R_\psi(x)}{R_\psi^{n+1}(a)} R_f^{n+1}(a),$$

in which  $u$  lies between  $x$  and  $a$ .

Putting  $a = 0$ , we have

$$R_f(x) = f(x+h) - fh - \sum_{r=1}^n (-1)^{r+1} A_r [\varphi(k + a_r bx) - \varphi k] / a_r,$$

$$R_f^{n+1}(u) = f^{n+1}u - \sum_{r=1}^n (-1)^{r+1} A_r b^{n+1} a_r^n \varphi^{n+1}(k + a_r bu).$$

Letting  $\psi x = \varphi(k + a_{n+1}bx)$ , we have

$$R_\psi(x) = \varphi(k + a_{n+1}bx) - \varphi k - \sum_{r=1}^n (-1)^{r+1} B_r [\varphi(k + a_r bx) - \varphi k] / a_r,$$

$$R_\psi^{n+1}(u) = b^{n+1} a_{n+1}^n \varphi^{n+1}(k + a_{n+1}bu) - \sum_{r=1}^n (-1)^{r+1} B_r b^{n+1} a_r^n \varphi^{n+1}(k + a_r bu).$$

$$A_r = \sum_{p=1}^n \frac{(-1)^{p+1}}{b^p} \frac{W_{rp}^r}{W^r} f^p h,$$

$$B_r = \sum_{p=1}^n \frac{(-1)^{p+1}}{b^p} \frac{W_{rp}^r}{W^r},$$

$$W = \varphi^1(a_1, \dots, a_n),$$

$$\frac{W_{rp}^r}{W^r} = (-1)^{r-1} P_{p+1} \frac{H a_m}{H(a_m - a_r)} \quad (m = 1, 2, \dots, \infty) \text{ Ex } r$$

$P_m$  is the sum of the products  $m$  at a time without repetition of the quantities  $a_m^{-1}$  ( $m = 1, 2, \dots, \infty$ ) Ex  $r$ .  $P_0 = 1$ .

$W_{rp}^r / W^r$  has a finite limit provided  $\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} a_r^{-1}$  ( $r = 1, 2, \dots, \infty$ ) is convergent. The condition for the series to infinity to be equal to  $f(x+h)$  throughout  $(a, \beta)$  is that

$$\sum_{n=\infty} R_\psi(x) \frac{R_f^{n+1}(u)}{R_\psi^{n+1}(u)} = 0,$$

for all values of  $x$  and  $u$  in the interval under consideration.

The general condition is, of course, that

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{r+1} A_r [\varphi(k + a_r bx) - \varphi k]$$

must be convergent.

In particular, suppose

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{r+1} B_r [\varphi(k + a_r bx) - \varphi k] / a_r,$$

is not infinite. Let this be  $\Lambda_\infty$ .

The remainder is the product of  $\varphi(k + a_{n+1}bx) - X_n$  into

$$\frac{f^{n+1}u}{b^{n+1}a_{n+1}^{n+1}} - \frac{1}{a_{n+1}} \sum_{r=1}^n (-1)^{r+1} \left[ \frac{a_r}{a_{n+1}} \right]^n A_r \varphi^{n+1}(k + a_r bu) \\ \varphi^{n+1}(k + a_{n+1}bu) - \frac{1}{a_{n+1}} \sum_{r=1}^n (-1)^{r+1} \left[ \frac{a_r}{a_{n+1}} \right]^n B_r \varphi^{n+1}(k + a_r bu)$$

We have

$$\sum_{n=\infty} \left[ \frac{a_r}{a_{n+1}} \right]^n = 0,$$

for finite values of  $r$ , and at most equal to unity for  $r = n = \infty$ . The convergence of the series in general must require

$$\sum_{n=\infty} A_n = 0.$$

If  $\varphi(k + a_{n+1}bx)$  is not infinite and  $\varphi^{n+1}(k + a_{n+1}bu)$  not zero when  $n = \infty$ , we may say that the remainder vanishes for  $n = \infty$ .

It does not appear that we can use the general form of the remainder to place close conditions on the general theorem, owing to the complexity of form due to its general character. It seems best to regard it as a qualitative basis from which we may investigate the particular case of functions  $f_x$  and  $\varphi_{r,x}$  in given specific form. General observations are suggested by the forms in which the functions enter the general formulae.

1. If  $\varphi_r$  functions are even (odd),  $f$  is to be even (odd).

2. If  $\varphi_r$  functions are even or odd periodic functions and  $k$  a point at which the odd or even derivatives vanish, then must the corresponding rows be omitted in the fundamental determinant, throwing out the corresponding terms of the series. In this case while the parameter  $a_r$  remains unchanged under the  $\varphi$  function signs, the Wronskian becomes

$$W = \zeta^i (a_1^2 \dots a_n^2),$$

which renders possible the finite limit to  $W_{rp}/W$  ( $n = \infty$ ), when  $a_r = r$ , since this limit in this case is dependent on the convergence of  $\sum a_r^{-2}$ . This secures such expansions in which the  $\varphi$  functions have the forms,  $\sin rx$ ,  $\cos rx$ ,  $e^{irx}$ , etc.

In general the period points of the series will be points of discontinuity or non-holomorphism of the series and any three such consecutive points will generally determine the  $(\alpha\beta)$  interval, and sometimes two of them fix this interval.

3. The coefficients  $A_r$  involve the successive derivatives of  $f$  at a specific point. If therefore the derivatives of  $f$  after the  $m$ th vanish, we should ex-

pect to find, as we actually do, only an  $m$ th contact between the function  $f^x$  and the series  $\sum A_r \varphi_r$  throughout the interval  $(\alpha, \beta)$  of equality. That is to say the  $m$  derivatives of the series equal the  $m$  derivatives of  $f^x$ , but derivatives after the  $m$ th of the series lose their dependence upon  $f^x$  and become indeterminate or infinite.

# V. EXPANSION IN NEGATIVE POWERS.

§ 15. In closing this note, it may be interesting to apply this method in seeking an answer to the question: What must be the form of the expansion of a function  $f^x$  in terms of negative powers of the variable, if such be possible?

Regarding the foregoing investigation to result in the general condition, in order that a function  $f^x$  shall be expansible in an infinite series of functions  $\varphi_r x$ , we must have

$$\begin{vmatrix} f^x & 1 & \varphi_1^x & \varphi_2^x & \dots \\ f^c & 1 & \varphi_1^c & \varphi_2^c & \dots \\ f''^c & 0 & \varphi_1'^c & \varphi_2'^c & \dots \\ f'''^c & 0 & \varphi_1''^c & \varphi_2''^c & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{vmatrix} = 0.$$

Let us apply this in answer to the above question.

For the expansion of  $f^x$  in terms of the functions  $(x - a)^{-r}$  to exist, we must have

$$\begin{vmatrix} f^x & 1 & (x - a)^{-1} & (x - a)^{-2} & \dots \\ f^c & 1 & (c - a)^{-1} & (c - a)^{-2} & \dots \\ f''^c & 0 & -(c - a)^{-2} & 2(c - a)^{-3} & \dots \\ f'''^c & 0 & +2(c - a)^{-3} & +2.3(c - a)^{-4} & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{vmatrix} = 0.$$

Factoring, we obtain

$$\begin{vmatrix} f^x & 1 & \left[ \frac{c - a}{x - a} \right] & \left[ \frac{c - a}{x - a} \right]^2 & \dots \\ f^c & 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots \\ -(c - a) f''^c & 0 & 1 & 2 & \dots \\ + (c - a)^2 f'''^c & 0 & 1.2 & 2.3 & \dots \\ -(c - a)^3 f^{(4)c} & 0 & 1.2.3 & 2.3.4 & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{vmatrix} = 0.$$

Completely difference this determinant, as follows: Begin with the second column and subtract each column from the succeeding one. In the resulting determinant, begin with the third column and repeat the operation, and so on, until all elements above the main diagonal vanish except those in the first row, which now are

$$f^2x, \quad 1, \quad \frac{c-x}{x-a}, \quad \left[ \frac{c-x}{x-a} \right]^2, \quad \dots$$

In this determinant begin with the fourth row and divide the rows by

$$1!2!, \quad 2!3!, \quad 3!4!, \quad \dots$$

respectively; then begin with the fifth column and multiply the columns respectively by

$$2!, \quad 3!, \quad 4!, \quad \dots$$

Whence results

$$\begin{vmatrix} f^2x & 1 & \frac{c-x}{x-a} & 1! \left[ \frac{c-x}{x-a} \right]^2 & 2! \left[ \frac{c-x}{x-a} \right]^3 & \dots \\ f^3c & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ -(c-a)f^2c & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ + \frac{(c-a)^2}{1!2!} f^3c & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & \dots \\ - \frac{(c-a)^3}{2!3!} f^4c & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots \\ + \frac{(c-a)^4}{3!4!} f^5c & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{vmatrix} = 0.$$

Expanding this with respect to the first row,\* we obtain

$$f^2x = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r!} \left[ \frac{x-c}{x-a} \right]^r \left[ \frac{d}{dx} \right]_{x=c}^{r-1} [(x-a)^r f^2x].$$

The coefficient of  $(x-c)^p/(x-a)^p$  in the expansion of the determinant is

$$\sum_{j=1}^p (-1)^{p-1, j-1} \frac{(c-a)^j}{j!} f^j c \left[ \frac{d}{dx} \right]_{x=c}^{p-1} \left[ \frac{(x-a)^p}{p!} f^2x \right].$$

Let

$$F_r x = \frac{(x-a)^r}{r!} f^r x.$$

Then

$$F_{r+1} x = \frac{x-a}{r+1} F_r x.$$

\* We thus alight in a curious manner on a particular case of Burmann's series, which was to be expected.



Differentiating  $r$  times,

$$(r+1)F_{r+1}^r x = rF_r^{r-1} x + (x-a)F_r^r x.$$

The ratio of convergence of the series is

$$\frac{x-c}{x-a} \frac{1}{1+1/r} \left[ 1 + \frac{(c-a)^r F_r^r c/r!}{(c-a)^{r-1} F_r^{r-1} c/(r-1)!} \right].$$

We started out to obtain the form of the expansion of  $f'x$  in terms of negative powers of  $(x-a)$ , but were led through the simplifying of the determinant to the expansion form of powers of  $(x-c)/(x-a)$ . This may be reconverted through the identity

$$\frac{x-c}{x-a} = 1 - \frac{c-a}{x-a}.$$

Whence by substitution and expansion, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f'x &= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (-1)^r \left[ \frac{c-a}{x-a} \right]^r \sum_{p=r}^{\infty} C_{p,r} \left[ \frac{d}{dx} \right]_{x=c}^{p-1} \left[ \frac{(x-a)^p}{p!} f''x \right] \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^r}{r!} \left[ \frac{c-a}{x-a} \right]^r \sum_{p=r}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{d}{dx} \right]_{x=c}^{p-1} \left[ \frac{(x-a)^p}{(p-r)!} f''x \right], \end{aligned}$$

when the expansion in negative powers is possible.\* Otherwise  $n$  must be written instead of  $\infty$ , and a residual term  $R$  added. We may investigate the remainder as before, when the character of  $f'x$  is known.

§ 16. The consideration of general methods in the application of the Differential Calculus in this direction is important and interesting. Important because it is the working method for reaching practical results, interesting because it tends to show somewhat the limitations which surround investigation in this direction. It is the last resort of the physicist who is working for utilitarian results when all other methods fail, and offers him a direct method of procedure. Such was the path followed by Fourier when he discovered the "Open Sesame" to the Theory of Heat.

\* This should also be the expansion of a holomorphic function of the complex variable  $z$ , for points outside of a circle about  $c$  with radius  $\text{mod}(c-a)$ . But the coefficients involve derivatives at the point  $c$ , which would require  $fz$  to be holomorphic not only outside of the circle but also at the center  $c$ .

On the other hand if we consider  $fz$  to be holomorphic outside of a circle with  $a$  as a center and radius  $R < \text{mod}(c-a)$ . Then this should be the expansion of  $fz$  for points  $z$  outside of the circle with center  $a$  and radius  $\text{mod}(c-a)$ , and on the same side of a straight line with  $a$ , which is drawn normal to the straight line joining  $c$  and  $a$  at its mid-point,  $c$  now being in the area of holomorphism of the function.

## KLEIN'S EVANSTON LECTURES.

By PROF. GEORGE BRUCE HALSTED, Austin, Texas.

Sylvester told me that he and Kronecker in attempting a definition of mathematics could only get as far as agreeing that it was essentially a kind of poesy. Of this poetry Professor Ziwet has caught for us a book of sonnets by our master geometer Felix Klein. For transcribing these harmonies and thus making them permanently accessible to all the world, American mathematicians in particular should be profoundly grateful to Professor Ziwet.

The charm of these lectures is so manifold that no one can afford to deprive himself of the pleasure of reading them. Throughout we see the very man who shows that all our space-intuitions must be held subject to revision everywhere emphasizing the tremendous power of these very space-intuitions as instrument in all mathematical research.

It is this point of view that divides original mathematicians into three classes—logicians, formalists, and intuitionists. With truest tact Klein chooses as companion for our Cayley and Sylvester that terrific analyst Gordan, who first showed the non-existence of the obstacle which had stopped both of them, the supposed infinitude of invariant forms.

As geometer supreme of the moderns he gives not Steiner but *von Staudt*, my own ideal, still the man of the future, whose pure system has never yet been given in English, but must now be, since no other will serve as foundation for projective metrics and projective non-Euclidean geometry.

And here another name should suggest itself—Riemann; for though so powerfully equipped as an analyst that in the matter of primes he succeeded where all others had failed, yet was he of essence geometer. See page 6 for “one of the best illustrations of the utility of adopting Riemann’s principles.” In point of fact, this wonderfully gifted man can never be overestimated. Though modest, sweet-natured, and painfully shy, yet fortunately he had a gentle obstinacy which saved him from adopting, in regard to his own work, suggestions kindly given by less gifted men. So what his writings lacked in immediate acceptance and recognition was more than made up in their fundamental, wide-reaching, continuous influence on subsequent mathematical thought. Justly his fame, long great, still grows.

In the two lectures on Sophus Lie we meet a clear presentation of the application of geometry to analysis, where the power is increased by adopting Pluecker’s idea of a generalized space-element.

In the preface to my Pure Projective Geometry I call attention to Newton’s ability and achievement in that line, saying: “Newton showed the extraordinary correlating power of projection, for example in his *Enumeratis*

*linearum tertii ordinis*, where he gives sixty-four of the different species as projections of five." Starting with nearly this text, Lecture IV outlines what has since been done toward giving actual mental images of algebraic curves and surfaces.

Lecture V is a beautiful illustration of how what is really elementary geometry can yet advance research in the theory of functions.

Lecture VI discusses the distinction between the *naïve* and the *refined* intuition. "It is the latter that we find in Euclid; he carefully develops his system on the basis of well-formulated axioms, is fully conscious of the necessity of exact proofs, clearly distinguishes between the commensurable and incommensurable, and so forth." "We are living in a *critical* period similar to that of Euclid." Professor Klein then speaks of "that artistic finish that we admire in Euclid's 'Elements,'" and mentions Allman's important historical work. I heartily concur in this estimate of Euclid, and desire to contrast it with the error of Charles S. Peirce, in the *Nation*, where he speaks of "Euclid's proof (Elements, Bk. I., props. 16 and 17)" as "really quite fallacious, because it uses no premises not as true in the case of spherics." Our bright American seems to have forgotten Euclid's Postulate 6 (Axiom 12 in Gregory, Axiom 9 in Heiberg), "Two straight lines cannot enclose a space;" that is, two straights having crossed never recur.

Professor Klein agrees with Clifford, "that the *naïve intuition is not exact, while the refined intuition is not properly intuition at all, but arises through the logical development from axioms considered as perfectly exact.*" Yet these two men are alike in a marvellous, astoundingly powerful space-intuition. They were born to be geometers. Professor Klein says, "It seems to me, therefore, that Kirchhoff makes a mistake when he says in his *Spectral-Analyse* that absorption takes place only where there is *exact* coincidence between the wave-lengths. I side with Stokes, who says that absorption takes place *in the vicinity* of such coincidence." This reminds us how easily Clifford swept away Maxwell's argument for special creation from coincidence in size of molecules.

Lecture VII on the transcendency of the numbers  $e$  and  $\pi$ , by its very simplicity brings home to us more sharply the lack in English of any adequate treatment of the continuity of the number system. Professor Fine has written a book on the number system without even attempting this its fundamental problem. He borrows his continuity bodily from space in the following sentence: "*The entire system of real numbers, however, inasmuch as it contains an individual number to correspond to every individual point in the continuous series of points forming a right line, is continuous.*"

Lecture VIII, on ideal numbers, shows that geometry will simplify even the proud and exclusive theory of numbers.

In Lecture IX, on the solution of equations, the quintic groups the paladins of algebra. Beginning after Abel, Rowan Hamilton, Sylvester, yet in 8 pages we have the names of Galois, Hermite, Kronecker, Brioschi, Gordan, Camille Jordan, Burkhardt, and Klein; and the last is the one geometer who has reduced the solution of the quintic to the simplest form, and that by connecting it with the icosahedron.

After Lecture X, on hyperelliptic and Abelian functions, the course closes with Lecture XI on the most recent researches in non-Euclidean geometry. Three points of view are distinguished; that of elementary geometry, of which Lobatschewsky and Bolyai are representatives; that of projective geometry, where it is essential to adopt the system of von Staudt; and that of Riemann and Helmholtz, starting with the element of a geodesic.

Attention is then called to the fact that a curved tridimensional space does not need a higher space in which to be curved. The curvature is an intrinsic characteristic quite independent of any higher space. Similarly we are cautioned against concluding from the familiar and highly important example of surface spherics, that in elliptic space two geodesics issuing from any point meet again in an antipodal point. "The projective theory of non-Euclidean space shows immediately that the existence of an antipodal point, though compatible with the nature of an elliptic space, is not necessary, but that two geodesic lines in such a space may intersect in one point if at all."

An exposition is now given of how Sophus Lie has confirmed the results of Helmholtz, and then is stated the intensely interesting outcome reached by Professor Klein in accordance with Clifford's general idea presented at the Bradford meeting of the British Association. The whole theory has been since verified by Killing.

Five pages have been added, in the form of a twelfth lecture, of advice to American students contemplating study in Germany; and by way of an Appendix to the whole, we have a translation of Professor Klein's charming sketch of the development of mathematics at the German universities. What is most characteristic in the present *Lehr-Freiheit* is there traced to Jacobi. "The new feature is that Jacobi lectured exclusively on those problems on which he was working himself, and made it his sole object to introduce his students into his own circle of ideas. With this end in view he founded, for instance, the first mathematical seminary."

And now, in taking leave of this inspiring book, I desire to express my feeling of personal obligation to Professor Ziwet for his part in its production, and to heartily recommend it, not only to every professed mathematician, but to all lovers of high thinking.

UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS, February, 1894.

# SOLUTION OF AN EXERCISE.

326

A HORIZONTAL beam, span  $2a$ , is supported at each end; the load per running foot of length at one support is zero; at the other support  $b$ . Find the deflection of the beam at the centre due to this load.

(1) When the load increases from the zero-support to the  $b$ -support as the square of the distance;

(2) When the load increases as the square-root of that distance.

[*T. U. Taylor.*]

SOLUTION.

1. Calling  $y$  the intensity of the load at any point distant  $x$  from the left support, we may write

$$y = \frac{bx^2}{4a^2}$$

for the equation to the load. Whence the moment at any point  $x$  is

$$M = EI \frac{d^2y}{dx^2} = \frac{1}{6} abx - \frac{1}{48} a^{-2} bx^4.$$

Whence, by integration,

$$EIy = \frac{1}{36} abx^3 - \frac{1}{1440} a^{-2} bx^5 + bx + k.$$

When  $y = 0$ ,  $x$  becomes 0 or  $2a$ ; whence

$$k = 0, \quad C = -\frac{4}{45} a^3 b.$$

Hence

$$EIy = \frac{1}{36} abx^3 - \frac{1}{1440} a^{-2} bx^5 - \frac{4}{45} a^3 bx.$$

When  $x = a$ ,

$$y = -\frac{89 a^4 b}{1440 EI},$$

the deflection at the centre.

$$2. \text{ Here } y^2 = \frac{b^2 x}{2a},$$

$$EI \frac{d^2y}{dx^2} = \frac{8}{15} abx - \frac{4}{15} (2a)^{-\frac{1}{2}} bx^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$

$$EIy = \frac{8}{90} abx^3 - \frac{16}{945} (2a)^{-\frac{1}{2}} bx^{\frac{5}{2}} - \frac{208}{945} a^{\frac{3}{2}} bx.$$

At the centre,

$$y = -\frac{4}{945} a^{\frac{3}{2}} b (31 + 2\sqrt{2}).$$

[*H. Y. Benedict.*]



# EXERCISES.

359

FOUR normals can be drawn from a point to a limaçon ; if the feet of two of the normals lie on a line through the node, the feet of the other two lie on a line through the focus. [Frank Morley.]

360

NORMALS at the ends of a nodal chord of a given limaçon mark off an involution on the axis of the curve. [Frank Morley.]

361

LET  $r$  be the base of a system of numeration. Find the condition that in the quotient of the number

$$A = aaa \dots a \quad (r - 1 \text{ places})$$

divided by  $r - 1$ , there shall appear all but one of the digits of the system (0 excluded), and determine the lacking digit. [Edgar H. Johnson.]

362

THE sides of a plane triangle are  $a, b, c$ . It is required to determine the radius of the circle circumscribing the escribed circles of this triangle.

[Artemas Martin.]

363

A HOLLOW sphere, external and internal radii  $R$  and  $r$ , rolls down an inclined plane in time  $t$ ; after the cavity is half filled with water it rolls down the same plane in time  $t'$ . Determine the specific gravity of the sphere.

[Artemas Martin.]

364

FIND two complete integrals of the equation

$$\left[ \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \right]^2 + \left[ \frac{\partial z}{\partial y} \right]^2 = \frac{x - y}{z}. \quad [\text{Geo. R. Dean.}]$$

365

SHOW that

$$x^3 + y^3 + z^3 - 3xyz = a^3$$

is a surface of revolution and find its axis.

[Geo. R. Dean.]



